

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/526,133	BRUGLIERA ET AL.	

  

<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
VINOD KUMAR	1638	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Allowance

(1) VINOD KUMAR. (3) \_\_\_\_.  
 (2) Xiaochun Zhu (Reg. No. 56,311). (4) \_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 13 May 2009

**Time:** 10 am

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

35 USC, 112 1<sup>st</sup> paragraph rejections on record

Claims discussed:

28-32 and 34-37

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Applicant authorized amendments to claims 28-32 and 34-35 to obviate potential issues under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph, 35 U.S.C. 112, 1<sup>st</sup> paragraph and claim objections. Applicant also authorized to cancel claims 36 and 37. Applicant also authorized amendment to the specification to insert priority data.*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Vinod Kumar/  
 Examiner, Art Unit 1638

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

